Form number: EGP2101F-01

| 1 | em that requires approval delete any section that is not marked with an asterisk (*). | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Equipment or System to be approved * | | | | |
| | Lincoln Electronic Lubricator Application Systems | | | | |
| 2 | Originator * | | | | |
| | Name: Wayne Olsen | Company: ARTC E/W | | | |
| 3 | Introduction * Modern railway lubrication systems are progressing from mechanical plunger style pump lubricators (e.g. Type Approva 08-08-11-120 "Portec Rail Product (UK) Limited PW Series Lubricators") to electronic delivery systems for Gauge Face Lubrication and Top of Rail Friction Modification (TORFM). | | | | |
| | The Lincoln electronic lubricator application syste allows a precise dosage of lubricant. | em is an electronic system which is reliable, consistent, economical and | | | |
| | This control unit can be used for both Gauge Fac on the rail differ between the 2 applications. | e Lubrication and TORFM systems. Only the distribution bars mounted | | | |
| | Finishing Finishing Finishing | bic Lubricator | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4 | Determination of Need * | | | | |
| 4 | Improved reliability and consistency of operation Electronic delivery allows a precise dosage of lub | of lubrication system. ricant hence wastage eliminated and economic benefits. prication which decreases wheel noise and rail wear, hence decreasing res upgrading/replacement. | | | |
| 4 | Improved reliability and consistency of operation Electronic delivery allows a precise dosage of lub Improved distribution provides improved rail lub re-rail costs. Older equipment is becoming obsolete and requi | of lubrication system. ricant hence wastage eliminated and economic benefits. prication which decreases wheel noise and rail wear, hence decreasing res upgrading/replacement. | | | |
| | Improved reliability and consistency of operation Electronic delivery allows a precise dosage of lub Improved distribution provides improved rail lub re-rail costs. Older equipment is becoming obsolete and requi Alternate supplier to Portec electronic lubrication Significant Change or Not * | of lubrication system. ricant hence wastage eliminated and economic benefits. prication which decreases wheel noise and rail wear, hence decreasing res upgrading/replacement. | | | |
| | Improved reliability and consistency of operation Electronic delivery allows a precise dosage of lub Improved distribution provides improved rail lub re-rail costs. Older equipment is becoming obsolete and requi Alternate supplier to Portec electronic lubrication Significant Change or Not * | of lubrication system. ricant hence wastage eliminated and economic benefits. prication which decreases wheel noise and rail wear, hence decreasing res upgrading/replacement. systems. | | | |



Form number: EGP2101F-01

7 Safety

Electronic lubrication systems are currently in use within the ARTC network, particularly Hunter Valley (Type Approval 08-08-11-118) hence no safety issues are foreseen with respect to the introduction of an "electronic" lubrication system itself.

The main safety concern is the performance of an alternate supplier of electronic lubricators, i.e. Lincoln. Performance of the unit will be monitored by the Track Inspector, ARTC Performance Engineering and ARTC Project Management for a period of 6 months to ensure unit is operating effectively. Tribometer friction measurements of the gauge face and running surface will be used to determine effectiveness and spread of lubricants.

There are no changes to the existing method of working, maintenance or environmental conditions hence safety of maintenance personnel will not change.

WHS is to be addressed during the installation and maintenance of the unit.

8 Performance and Suitability

See attached documents :

- Lincoln Capabilities
- Lincoln Installation Overview & Instruction

(i) Use in other rail networks

Lincoln electronic lubricators currently used :

- Railcorp, NSW
- QR National, Qld
- GWA, Northern Territory

(ii) Use in the ARTC network

Lincoln units are not currently used within ARTC, however multiple electronic Portec units are used in Hunter Valley for both gauge face lubrication and TORFM.

(iii) Issues arising from usage of the equipment/system

No known issues.

(iv) Changes required to infrastructure or systems for use of the equipment

Train Notice to be issued to advise of changes of lubricator type, or new location. No alteration to network configuration, hence no Network Alteration Notice required. May require changes to power supply drawings from Signalling if powered from Signalling sources.

9 Reliability

Power Supply

• If powered from Signalling sources, then isolation from system critical circuitry required.

• If solar powered, regular inspection by track inspector for damage/theft of solar panels.

Unit Performance

• Distribution bars to be inspected during routine maintenance.

10 Maintainability

Track Inspector responsible for maintaining existing lubricators will be trained in operation and maintenance of new electronic units.

Schematic drawings and operating procedures to be provided to Track Inspector.

Larger pot to hold grease will mean less frequent and simpler re-stocking of grease.

Supplier, JSG, will assist in installation and training.

Signage required on pots to eliminate maintenance errors and contamination of units.



Form number: EGP2101F-01

| 11 | Approval * | |
|----|------------|--|
|----|------------|--|

The following components of the Lincoln Electronic Lubricators are approved for use on the ARTC network:

- Lincoln WAYSIDE TOR PUMP, CONTROLLER and WIPER system
- Lincoln LUBE PUMP, CONTROLLER and WIPER system

12 Conditions of Approval *

- 1. Installation and maintenance to be as per manufacturer's instructions.
- 2. The Track Inspector, ARTC Performance Engineering and ARTC Project Management shall monitor the performance of the unit for a period of 6 months.
- 3. WHS is to be addressed during the installation and maintenance of the unit.

| 13 Does the Originator accept the additional Conditions of Approval as set by the Review Panel: | Yes | \boxtimes | No | | N/A | |
|--|-----|-------------|----|--|-----|--|
|--|-----|-------------|----|--|-----|--|

| Sign off | | | ARTC office use only |
|---------------|---|--|---|
| Review Panel: | | | |
| John Furness | Signature on file | Date: | 3/09/2012 |
| Wayne Olsen | Signature on file | Date: | 5/09/2012 |
| Jessica Tai | Signature on file | Date: | 3/09/2012 |
| Denis Snowden | Signature on file | Date: | 3/09/2012 |
| J | Review Panel: John Furness Wayne Olsen Jessica Tai | Review Panel: Signature on file John Furness Signature on file Wayne Olsen Signature on file Jessica Tai Signature on file | Review Panel:John FurnessSignature on fileDate:Wayne OlsenSignature on fileDate:Jessica TaiSignature on fileDate: |