AR/TC

Form number: EGP2101F-01

NEW	V EQUIPMENT & SYSTEM APPROVAL PROFORMA	۱	Ref : 14/28332
syste	the prompts given below are only a guide to the information re m that requires approval delete any section that is not applicab marked with an asterisk (*).		
1	Equipment or System to be approved *		
	ZOLLNER's Mobile Radio Warning System (MRWS) protecting work.) as a semi-au	utomatic track warning system for trial in
2	Originator *	0	
	Name: Richard Gardener / Christian Jung	Company:	Leighton Contractors National Rail/ Zoellner Australia
3	Introduction * The Zollner Mobile Radio Warning System (MWRS) is human errors in the announcement of approaching trains It is a further development of the Autoprowa, Automatic collective warning to all personnel working near the Dan in the event of an approaching train. In contrast to ZATWS the MRWS is characterized by a very is especially well suited for short-term worksites or small By using reliable train detectors and latest safe bi-direct human factor in the train detection. Designed to be a fail-safe system and as the single sour The UHVA understands the risk of human error when of alternative with a proven track record in similar operat provides an increased and consistent warning time, clear safe working co-ordinator. It reduces the dependency on Opportunity of human error. It provides an extra lev operations) outside the Danger Zone during the passage associated reliability issues. It is proposed that UHVA under towards achieving approval for its use as to protect work	s on track works c Track Warning ger Zone throug ery short assem l worksites. ctional radio tran cce of protection conducting Look tional environme rly defined to pro a Lookouts in clo vel of protection e of a train. This indertake a reduce	ites. System (Z-ATWS) that was designed to give a gh a series of horns and lights within a worksite bly time and quick start-up of the system, so it insmission the SIL4-rated system takes out the it is already in use in most European countries. cout duties and so has sought a more reliable ents. The advantages of the MRWS are that it rotection staff in pre-work planning by the zone ise proximity to track and therefore reduces the on to suspend work activities (such as plant reduces the potential for driver distraction and ced form of trial on the ARTC network as a step
	The intended use of the MRWS at the UHVA during the tr Warn personnel and operators of plant working outside Operate at times and locations approved by UHVA/ART Be installed and recovered from the track using current Provide a visual and audible warning to UHVA person the passage of a train. Integrate the MRWS into all current UHVA systems an rail safety plans procedures and tools The MRWS equipment will be used to provide a war procedures, where personnel are working outside the of daytime working hours of the project.	rial is to: e the danger zon C t Network rules nel to suspend ad perform the M rning in addition danger zone. Th	e only work activities such as plant operations during /IRWS Trial in addition to all current WH&S and n to current safe working network rules and ne MRWS system will only be used during the
	Prior to its use a pre start checklist will be completed by safe warning times. Thanks to the rapid assembly and di locations. At the completion of each shift the MRWS will be	ismantling times	s of the MRWS it can be trialed easily at various
4	Determination of Need *		
	The MWRS provides a safer working environment to work train detection with reduced human factors. The use of the MRWS in its full capacity will - reduce the human error in train detection - improve safe working performance - provide Safety with highest availability (SIL4) - enables work on live rail or near the adjacent open line - reduces the necessity to work under possession of the f - improve the rail reliability - increases the productivity - give more flexibility in planning of worksites (use of MR	track	
5	Significant Change or Not The first step will be the use of the MRWS as a secondar	v means of prot	ection during the trials to get confidence to this
	kind of technology. A later use of the system as a primary source of protections step		
	* This change in equipment or system is assessed as SIGN	IIFICANT	
6	Review Panel (as determined by the Manager Standard	ls) *	
	John Furness - Manager Standards		



Form number: EGP2101F-01

_	Form number: EGP2101F-01			
	Doug Adams - Systems Performance Manager			
	Greg Watson - National Rules Managers			
	David Ogucha - Track and Civil Standards Engineer			
7	Safety			
	The MRWS is a completely autonomous warning system that does not have to rely on interfaces with other existing systems. it is a SIL-4 rated system which as been designed and manufactured in accordance with all applicable CENLEC standards. The MWRS safety case demonstrates that it is safe to operate in the rail environment and all applicable operational and miantenance procedures have been developed to contol safe operations.			
	Prior to the trail a safety risk assessment will be completed for the MRWS trial at UHVA to confirm the controls necessary to manage safety SFAIRP. The following risks identified by the risk assessment will be controlled: - Set-up of the train detectors inside the Danger Zone			
	Integration of MPW/S operations into Work Method Plans, Worksite Protection Plans, Dre Work Briefs and Notifications			

gration of MRWS operations into Work Method Plans, Worksite Protection Plans, Pre-Work Briefs and Notifications for train drivers

- PO-competency to become an Operator/Installer of the MRWS The system has already trialed successfully by Sydney Trains early this year and is in use at Rio Tinto in WA. Acceptance certificates of the following major European rail authorities can be provided: Germany, Deutsche Bahn (DB) UK, Network Rail France, SNCF All components of the MRWS are manufactured in the premises in Germany, which is a ISO 9001 certified site. The FMECA of MRWS was carried out as part of the SIL 4 certification of the system and verified by TÜV Rail in Munich, Germany. The MRWS Safety Case is provided. The MRWS should only be operated by qualified personnel that have successfully completed a 2-day Operator/Installer training course. These courses are provided by the manufacturer Zoellner. The minimum qualification for participation in the course is a PO2-certificate. Note - this is not a protection device and is in addition to the existing Rules and Procedures. Performance and Suitability The system has been developed according to the highest international safety standards and complies with all applicable CENELEC standards. Certificates provide information on compliance with these standards of railway applications: EN 50126:1999 Railway applications - The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) EN 50128:2001 Railway applications - Communication, signalling and pro-cessing systems -Software for railway control and protec-tion systems EN 50129:2003 Railway applications - Communications, signalling and processing systems - Safety related electronic systems for signalling EN 50159-1:2001 Railway applications - Communication, signalling and pro-cessing systems - Part 1: Safety-related communication in closed transmission system EN 50159-2: 2001 Railway applications - Communication, signalling and pro-cessing systems - Part 2: Safety related communication in open transmission systems EN 50121-4:2006 Railway applications - Electromagnetic compatibility - Part 4: Emission and immunity of the signalling and telecommunications apparatus EN 50124-1:2001 + A1:2003 + A2:2005 + correction 2010 Railway applications - Insulation coordination - Part 1: Basic requirements - Clearances and creepage distances for all electrical and electronic equipment EN 50125-3:2003 + correction 2010 Railway applications - Environmental conditions for equip-ment - Part 3: Equipment for signalling and telecommunications EN 60529:2000 Degrees of protection provided by enclosures (IP code) The MRWS Safety Case and reference documents provides ARTC with a complete desription of the the performance and suitbability characteristics of the system. There are no additional issues identified relating the suitability in the ARTC network. Use in other rail networks (i) Furope: Germany- Deutsche Bahn (DB) United Kingdom - Network Rail France - SNCF other certificates available for Austria, Switzerland, Spain, Italy, Poland Australia: Use at Rio Tinto Expansion projects during trials since February 2012 Successful trials at Sydney Trains (20. - 24.1.2014) Use in the ARTC network (ii)

8

The trial of the MWRS will be the first use of the system on the ARTC Network



Form number: EGP2101F-01

(iii)	Issues arising from usage of the equipment/system		
	Additional controls necessary to manage the safe operation of the MWRS will be confirmed as a result of the safety risk assessment. The MWRS trial will also confirm the need for any additions or chnages to current requirements		
(iv)	Changes required to infrastructure or systems for use of the equipment		
	No chnages to infrastrcuture or rail systems are necessary for the use of the equipment under the scope of the trial. The MWRS inroduces new competence requirements for the planning, operation and maintenance of the system.		
9	Reliability		
	Reliability data for the MWRS is provide in the TUV Assessment.		
10	Maintainability		
The equipment of the MRWS does not require extensive maintenance. All system components are battery of Lithium-Ion batteries use intelligent charging management and an integrated capacity display allows the check the charge at any time. We recommend to charge the used batteries after each shift and to charge at least every 6 weeks.			
	The whole system has to be re-proofed every 2 years. This will be done by the manufacturer or a by a certified company.		
	The installation, operation, dismantling and the maintenance of the system is described in detail in the manuals of all components.		
	Support: Since 1999, Zollner sells Track Warning Systems and provides all customers with after sales support. Due to the periodic reviews, the products are characterized by a great longevity. During the re-proofing a precise functional check and, if necessary, the devices are brought up to date by software updates. For updates will always pay attention to compliance with the backward compatibility.		
11	Approval *		
The MRWS consists of individual components which are listed in the following with the Name and Item Number ZPW - Zöllner's Personal Warning Device - 01415209 ZRC - Zöllner's Remote Control - 01415210 ZFS - Zöllner's Radio Transmitter - 01415211 F500-SEN - Inductive train dennsor /incl. clamp) - 01414732 F500-AB - Junction Box for F500-SEN - 01415136 WGH - Additional horn for connection to ZPW - 01415182			
	The listed components are assembled in various configurations to provide the appropriate warning system avail- each worksite. The components of the MRWS should be approved for the the worksites in the UHVA project.		
12	Is the supplier accredited to ISO 9001 specifically for this product? * Yes X Bureau Veritas Certification certifies the conformity of the company Zöllner Holding GmbH Yes X according to the requirements of DIN EN ISO 9001: 2008. (Certificate no. DE002310-1). X X Conditions of Approval * X X X		
13	Conditions of Approval *		
	The MRWS can only be operated by trained and qualified Operators/Installers. The knowledge of the operation of the MRWS are taught in a 2-day course, minimum competency: PO2-certificate. All used components must be in perfect condition, have an undamaged seal with valid inspection date.		
This approval is for the purposes of a trial and the following conditions must be adhered to: 1. Installation and operation must be undertaken by fully trained and competent personnel with proc provided to ARTC before start of trial. 2. Records of maintenance need to be provided and kept on site at all times.			
3. Existing ARTC network safe working rules must be applied. In exception to the Note within TA20 ARTC Code of Practice for the Victorian Main Line Netwo Infrastructure Works Rule 15 Actions by Employees on the Approach of a Train, which states 'Where apparatus is provided for the purpose of giving warning it will not be necessary to employ lookout(s)', be proved where this unit is trialled.			
	In exception to 3.11.20 Train Running Information (TRI) – Advice and warning of approaching trains item (f) with Code of Practice for the Defined Interstate Rail Network a Lookout must be provided where this unit is trialled. 4. A test plan including criterion for judging a successful trial must be prepared and accepted by ARTC Stat Manager before the trial. The test plan is to include a shift test log to be completed by the Protection Officer at of each shift during the trial period. The shift test logs are to be utilised in compiling the end-of-trial report. 5. Site and task specific PPE to be worn at all times. 6. A risk assessment is to be conducted prior to the commencement of the trial. 7. Appropriate training of Protection Officers and briefings for work groups is to be undertaken in setting		
	equipment, recognition of all warnings provided by the system (including audible system failure warnings), pack-up of the system after use, and routine maintenance (including regular battery charging). 8. The geographic location of trial sites shall be stated in the test plan, and utilisation of the trial system shall be limited		
	to those sites. 9. The trial is for the Hexham Relief Roads project, and as amended February 2015, for the HV Coal Road Balla Cleaning – Night AMP 2014-2016 at the two coal roads between Maitland and Sandgate. 10. A copy of all plans, procedures and SWMS must be provided to ARTC Project Manager and accepted prior		
L	To a copy of an plans, procedures and sound must be provided to Altre Project Manager and accepted profile		

Form number: EGP2101F-01

	11. Leightons to pr that arose, set-up	ndertaking any physical trials on the infrastructure. 1. Leightons to provide an end of trial report on the utilisation of the system, listing such items as ease of use, issues hat arose, set-up and pack-up times, system serviceability, human factors issues (the extent to which teams came to ely on the Zollner system in lieu of existing controls) and other pertinent details to support the Type Approval onsideration.			
14	Does the Origina as set by the Rev	tor accept the additional Conditions of Approval iew Panel:	Yes 🛛	No 🗌	N/A
15	Sign off			ARTC of	fice use only
15	Review Panel:			ARTOOR	
	John Furness	On File	Date:	7/08/2014	
	Doug Adams	On File	Date:	5/08/2014	
	David Ogucha	On File	Date:	6/08/2014	
	Greg Watson	On File	Date:	5/08/2014	

Approval:

Operations Safety & Environment Review Group 11 August 2014

16	Sign off for addition	ign off for additional trial during ballast cleaning works on the Coal Roads		
	Review Panel: John Furness	On File	Date:	23/03/2015
	Doug Adams	On File	Date:	29/10/2014
	David Ogucha	On File	Date:	23/03/2015
	Greg Watson	On File	Date:	23/10/2014
	Jamie Graham	On File	Date:	23/10/2014