

## Section 9: Structures

ETS-09-00

### Applicability

ARTC Network Wide

SMS

### Publication Requirement

Internal / External

### Primary Source

Section 9

### Document Status

Version #	Date Reviewed	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Endorsed	Approved
1.1	14 Feb 24	National Bridges and Structures Engineer	Stakeholders	Manager Track and Civil Standards	Head of Engineering Standards 11/04/2024

### Amendment Record

Amendment Version #	Date Reviewed	Clause	Description of Amendment
1.0	20 Mar 23		Document renumbered to ETS-09-00 Minor editorial changes
1.1	14 Feb 24		Head-on train collision load criteria and assumptions added Bridge transom contents moved to a new procedure Bridge Transom ETP-09-04 Derailment kerb clearance added Minor editorial changes

#### Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by ARTC for internal use and may not be relied on by any other party without ARTC's prior written consent. Use of this document shall be subject to the terms of the relevant contract with ARTC.

ARTC and its employees shall have no liability to unauthorised users of the information for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred or arising by reason of an unauthorised user using or relying upon the information in this document, whether caused by error, negligence, omission or misrepresentation in this document.

**This document is uncontrolled when printed.**

Authorised users of this document should visit ARTC's intranet or extranet ([www.artc.com.au](http://www.artc.com.au)) to access the latest version of this document.

**Table of Contents**

**Table of Contents .....2**

**9 Section 9: Structures .....3**

9.1 General.....3

    9.1.1 Reference Documents ..... 3

    9.1.2 Definitions..... 3

9.2 General.....4

9.3 Design and Load Rating.....4

    9.3.1 Basis of Design..... 5

    9.3.2 Matters for Resolution..... 5

    9.3.3 Design Loads..... 5

    9.3.4 Collision from Rail Traffic..... 6

    9.3.5 Bridge Earthquake Design Category ..... 8

    9.3.6 Derailment Kerb..... 8

    9.3.7 Bridge Bearing..... 8

    9.3.8 Protection Screen ..... 10

    9.3.9 Clearances ..... 10

    9.3.10 Waterways..... 10

    9.3.11 Abutment Slabs ..... 10

    9.3.12 Walkways / Refuges / Handrails ..... 11

    9.3.13 Road Traffic Barrier ..... 12

    9.3.14 Services..... 12

    9.3.15 Survey Control Markers..... 12

    9.3.16 Construction Specification ..... 13

    9.3.17 Competency Requirements ..... 13

    9.3.18 Certification..... 13

    9.3.19 Acceptance by ARTC ..... 13

9.4 Construction and Maintenance .....13

    9.4.1 General..... 13

    9.4.2 Maintenance Work..... 13

    9.4.3 Guard Rails..... 14

9.5 Inspection and Assessment .....14

    9.5.1 Inspections ..... 14

    9.5.2 Load Rating ..... 15

## 9 Section 9: Structures

### 9.1 General

#### 9.1.1 Reference Documents

- ETS-09-01 Structures Inspection Standard
- ETP-09-01 Structures Inventory Procedure
- ETP-09-02 Structures Inspection Procedure
- ETP-09-04 Bridge Transom Procedure
- EGP-04-01 Engineering Drawings and Documentation
- EGP-03-01 Rail Network Configuration Management
- Section 1 Rail
- Section 7 Clearance.

#### 9.1.2 Definitions

The following terms and acronyms are used within this document:

Term or acronym	Description
ARTC	Australian Rail Track Corporation Ltd.
Significant track work	Major work changing the alignment of the track, including track lowering. Does not include alignment changes resulting from routine track maintenance.
Equivalent Standard	A suitable alternative standard approved by the Manger Standards.

**9.2 General**

Structures generally include bridges, culverts, tunnels and miscellaneous structures as shown in Table 9.1 below.

**Table 9-1 Asset Class and Structure Type**

<b>Asset Class</b>	<b>Structure Type</b>
Bridge	Underbridge Overbridge Footbridge
Culvert	Culvert
Tunnel	Tunnel
Miscellaneous Structures	Access (i.e. stairs, walkways) Buffer stop Culvert non-track Communication Towers Flood structure Lighting Gantry Lighting Tower Disused Loading Structures (now acting as retaining walls next to tracks) Overhead Service crossing Retaining wall (including old loading banks) Signal gantry (including cantilever structure) Sound barrier Turntable Water Structures

**9.3 Design and Load Rating**

The Section 9 of the ARTC Track and Civil Code of Practice sets out the requirements for the design of new railway, road and pedestrian bridges, culverts and other significant structures and for the load rating of existing structures. It defines requirements specifically or by reference to relevant Australian Standards.

“Safety in Design” is mandated by statutory requirements and must be incorporated into the design.

The safety in design process shall identify potential hazards and the potential risks to persons during construction, future operation, maintenance and eventual decommissioning of an asset. During the design phase, risks must be eliminated or minimised so far as is reasonably practicable. Residual risks shall be documented in accordance with ARTC’s risk management procedures.

### 9.3.1 Basis of Design

Bridge design shall be carried out in accordance with the Australian Bridge Design Standard AS (AS/NZS) 5100, RISSB's Australian Railway Infrastructure Standard AS 7636 Railway Structures, RISSB Code of Practice 'Derailment containment and protection for rail underbridges' and RISSB's guideline 'Refuges – Bridges and Tunnels'. Where there is a conflict among aforementioned documents, the requirements of this Code of Practice shall take precedence.

AS (AS/NZS) 5100 series sets out the requirements for the design, using limit states principles, of the following:

- Bridges that are required to support road traffic loads.
- Bridges that are required to support rail traffic.
- Bridges that are required to support light rail traffic.
- Pedestrian bridges and walkways, including bicycle and wheelchair access.
- Other structures that are required to support road and rail traffic, e.g. culvert and structural components related to tunnels, except those covered specifically by other Standards.
- Structures, other than bridges, that are required to support or resist road or rail traffic loads, e.g. retaining structures, deflection walls, signal gantries and sign gantries.
- Structures built over and/or adjacent to railways.

Culvert design shall be carried out in accordance with the Precast Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts Standard AS 1597, Design for Installation of Buried Concrete Pipes Standard AS 3725, Precast Concrete Pipes Standard AS 4058, Buried Corrugated Metal Structures Standard AS 2041, and Buried Flexible Pipelines – Part 1 Structural Design AS/NZ 2566.1.

Top of drainage pipes under tracks shall not be less than 1.2m below top of rail level unless otherwise approved by ARTC.

Design of all other structures shall be carried out in accordance with relevant Australian Standards.

Design of any structures utilising materials not currently covered by any Australian Standards shall only be undertaken following "Type Approval" by ARTC.

### 9.3.2 Matters for Resolution

All relevant matters for resolution listed in AS 5100 shall be confirmed as accepted by ARTC prior to commencement of the design and load rating processes for all internal and 3<sup>rd</sup> Party projects.

All risk assessment workshops for design, construction, maintenance and disposal of structures within ARTC corridor shall have in attendance ARTC subject matter experts to ensure all ARTC concerns are addressed properly unless otherwise waived by ARTC.

### 9.3.3 Design Loads

All structures shall be designed for the loading effects prescribed in AS (AS/NZS) 5100 and/or AS 7636 unless otherwise loading is specified by ARTC.

For rail traffic load other than 300LA design load, the load shall be taken as the proportion of the 300LA design rail traffic load.

As a minimum, when loading is not specified:

- Rail bridges and culverts shall be designed to 300LA design rail traffic load with applicable dynamic load allowance.

- Rail bridges and culverts on the NSW Hunter heavy haulage lines shall be designed to 350LA design rail traffic load with applicable dynamic load allowance.
- Only design rail traffic axle loads, nosing load and derailment loads (AS 5100.2 Clause 11.5.1) shall be proportioned to suit nominated design or load rating loads. All other rail traffic loads shall be in accordance with AS 5100.2.
- Minimum strength for reinforced concrete or fibre reinforced pipe under track shall be Class 4 (equivalent to 300LA design rail traffic).
- High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) pipes shall comply with the above design loads and the requirements of AS 2566. In bush fire prone areas, the pipe suitability shall be risk assessed.
- All ballast top rail bridges shall be designed for 600mm maximum and 300mm minimum ballast depth below sleepers. (Plaque(s) shall be clearly displayed on top of ballast kerb in each span indicating maximum designed low-leg rail height above plaque).
- The height of ballast kerb above concrete deck shall be 600mm minimum unless otherwise approved by ARTC.
- Road bridges shall be designed to SM1600 wheel design loads with applicable dynamic load allowance.
- Walkways and Footbridges shall be designed for 5kPa.

### 9.3.4 Collision from Rail Traffic

#### 9.3.4.1 New bridges

Unless otherwise approved by ARTC, the design of all the new bridges shall comply with all the minimum requirements of AS 5100 for the protection against rail traffic collision loads in conjunction with the following additional requirements:

1. As per AS 5100, all new bridges over tracks shall be designed to:
  - a. Avoid collapse of structures over rail due to impact from derailed trains.
  - b. Reduce the severity of impacts with structures to reduce the probability of injury to occupants of derailed trains.
2. A site-specific risk assessment acceptable to ARTC shall be carried out to determine the requirements for protection of bridge piers, abutments and the ends of the through girder, arch or truss.

#### Head-on Collision Load

All piers, abutments and the ends of the through girder, arch or truss shall be protected against head-on collision from derailed trains by deflection walls at both city and country ends of the tracks.

The bridge supports shall be protected from head-on impact from derailed trains by deflection walls unless robust (heavy construction) supports are designed to sustain the head-on collision load without undermining the capacity of supports to carry design permanent and transient effect loads.

The collision load normal to rails shall be 1500 kN as per AS 5100.

The head-on collision load on deflection walls to protect end of a through truss, arch or through girder for underbridges may be partially transferred from one end of the bridge to the other provided design capacity of the bridge is not affected in anyway.

The train head-on collision load on a deflection wall can be much higher than minimum collision load of 4,000kN parallel to rails specified in AS 5100.2. It shall be analysed for all bridge supports within 10m from the centreline of the nearest track.

The collision load shall not be less than 4,000 kN nor more than 12,000 kN parallel to rails. To achieve these loads the designer shall consider the effects of derailment treating the bridge as a whole system, including superstructure and substructure

Designer shall use rational method, taking into consideration the following criteria and assumptions:

- Train speed for specific site shall be as specified in ARTC Route Access Standard or nominated by ARTC.
- Freight train mass = 1000 tonnes minimum unless determined otherwise.
- Derailment impact angle<sup>[1]</sup> = 3.5<sup>0</sup>, taken from the centreline of the nearest track.
- Deceleration<sup>[2]</sup> = 3m/s<sup>2</sup>. Note: In some cases, a derailed train may accelerate as a result of steep slope towards a support.
- Car stiffness<sup>[3]</sup> = 1200 kN/m unless a more rigorous stiffness analysis is performed by the designer. This lower coefficient is considered more realistic due to numerous variables even though a locomotive chassis is stiffer than a passenger carriage.

Based on the principle of conservation of energy, the collision load (F) can be calculated based on the following formula:

$$F^{[4]} = V(km)^{0.5}$$

Where:

*F* = head-on collision load (kN)

*V* = locomotive velocity at impact with support (m/s)

*k* = vehicle (car) stiffness (kN/m)

*m* = mass (tonnes)

3. Piers and abutments shall be a continuous concrete wall aligned parallel to the adjacent tracks and the minimum height of wall shall be subject to site specific risk assessment but not less than height specified in AS 5100.
4. Deflection wall shall be designed as a continuous wall and the minimum height of wall shall be subject to site specific risk assessment but not less than height specified in AS 5100.

- 
1. *Derived from AECOM Canada Ltd. Submission Guidelines for 'Development of Crash Wall Design Loads from Theoretical Train Impact'. Mississauga, Ont.: AECOM Canada Ltd., 2012.*
  2. *Extracted from Eurocode – Structures built over railway lines – Construction requirements in the track-zone – UIC Code 777-2R:2002.*
  3. *Extracted from Hirsch, T.J., et al. 'Analysis and Design of Metrorail-Railroad Barrier System'. Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas: Texas Transportation Institute, 1989.*
  4. *Extracted from Eurocode 1 – Actions on structures – Part 1-7: General actions 2 Accidental actions – BS EN 1991-1-7:2006.*
-

#### 9.3.4.2 For significant track work below existing bridges for tracks carrying double stacked rolling stock

1. A risk assessment acceptable to ARTC shall be carried out to determine the requirements for whether to design a deflection wall or protect existing bridge piers and abutments.
2. If deflection walls are designed to protect the existing piers and abutments, these deflection walls shall comply with the above requirements in 9.3.4.1 and AS 5100.
3. Deflection walls shall be provided on the track approach side to the existing substructure.
4. Deflection walls shall be separated from the existing structure and shall not rely on the existing substructure for support.
5. Barrier protection, if required in risk assessment, shall be provided alongside the existing substructure adjacent to the rail track, unless otherwise approved by ARTC.
6. The superstructure of existing bridges shall not be assessed to resist a minimum collision load of 500kN.

#### 9.3.4.3 For new bridges above rail tracks carrying double stacked rolling stock

AS (AS/NZS) 5100 (Part 1 & Part 2) is silent on the requirements for protection of bridge superstructures above rail tracks carrying double stacked rolling stock.

1. For any part of the structure within 7m vertically of the centreline of the nearest track carrying double stacked rolling stock shall be designed to resist a minimum collision load of 500kN. Above 7m and up to 12m vertically above the rail track level, this load shall vary linearly from 500kN to zero at 12m.

#### 9.3.4.4 Underground rail, air space developments and similar situations

1. The supports for all underground rail and air space developments shall be designed for the train collision loads specified in AS 5100.2.

#### 9.3.5 Bridge Earthquake Design Category

The bridge earthquake category for new bridges shall be determined as specified in AS 5100.2.

The classification of a new bridge shall be BEDC-4 unless otherwise approved by ARTC based on a site-specific cost benefit analysis.

The minimum classification for new bridge shall be BEDC-3.

#### 9.3.6 Derailment Kerb

A derailment kerb shall be provided for new ballasted bridges unless deemed not reasonably practicable by a SFAIRP risk assessment acceptable to ARTC. It shall extend 200mm above the top of the adjacent running rail without infringing maximum kinematic envelope plus 100mm from the centreline of the nearest track.

On a straight track the inside face of the derailment kerb shall not be less than 1700mm from the centreline of the nearest track.

Concrete upstand between running rails constructed up to rail level can be considered as a derailment kerb on direct-fix concrete bridges.

#### 9.3.7 Bridge Bearing

The requirements for rail bridge bearings shall be as follows:



- For new steel spans, the bearings shall be spherical bearings or bearings approved by ARTC.
- For new concrete spans, the bearings shall be spherical bearings, elastomeric bearings or bearings approved by ARTC.
- All spherical bearings shall be designed with 100year design life.
- All other bearing types shall be designed with 50year minimum design life.
- All bearings shall be designed for rail traffic loading specified in Clause 9.2.3 Design Loads.
- All bearings and fixing details shall be arranged to permit removal of the fasteners without jacking the bridge at all and removal of the bearing by jacking the bridge by no more than 5mm.
- Bearings shall be tested in accordance with requirements of AS5100.4. The tests shall be carried out in Australia in a test facility, suitably accredited by NATA. The test frequency shall be in accordance with Table 9.2;
- For testing purposes, the bearing group is defined as bearings of the same type, with the same sliding pad and spherical surface geometry and with similar load capacity. Bearings within a group may have different translational movement ranges.

**Table 9.2 Bearing Test Frequency**

Type of Test	Bearings per group		
	Up to 10	10 to 25	>25
Vertical Load test	1 per group (2 min)	2 per group	3 per group
Lateral Load Test			
Rotational Capacity Test			
Geometrical Verification	All		
Coefficient of Friction Test	1 per stainless steel and sliding material batch combination		

Only Spherical Bearings comprising Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene (UHMPE) as the sliding material shall be used and shall comply with European Technical Approval ETA-08/115 or similar and shall demonstrate below minimum performance characteristics.

- Maximum Friction Coefficient:  $0.0267 (g) \geq 30 \text{ MPa}$
- Minimum Friction Coefficient: 0
- Minimum Accumulated Sliding Distance (To ensure adequate Abrasion resistance):  $\geq 75\text{km}$
- Minimum Short Term Compressive Strength (To ensure No Damage to Sliding Surfaces for short term high temperatures and any accidental impacts):  $240 \text{ MPa @ } 48 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$
- Bearing attachment plates shall be detailed and supplied by the bearing manufacturer.

Where use of pot bearings cannot be avoided, only pot bearings that use Polyoxymethylene (POM) or Carbon Filled Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) as internal sealing elements complying with current version of CEN-EN1337-5 Structural Bearings – Part 5: Pot Bearings shall be used.

For all other bridge types, the design of the bearings shall comply with AS (AS/NZ) 5100.

Detailed shop drawings with design loads of all bearings shall be provided for ARTC review prior to commencement of manufacture of any bearings.

All bearing test reports and final drawings shall be provided with delivery of bearings.

### 9.3.8 Protection Screen

Protection screens shall be provided to prevent objects falling or being thrown from overhead bridges.

1. Protection screens shall be provided unless otherwise approved by ARTC.
2. Screen shall have a minimum height of 3m above the roadway, walkway surface or ledge that people can stand on. Road traffic barrier and barrier railing can act as ledge. Where height is not taken from ledge but from road surface then ledge shall be screened off to prevent people from climbing onto it.
3. Preference is for screen to curve inwards facing road as per Figure 16.4 in AS 5100.1.
4. Screen shall not tilt outwards more than 10° from vertical position where it is impractical to curve it towards road.
5. Screens shall extend across full length of road bridge or full width of railway boundary or 9m minimum as per AS 5100 unless otherwise approved by ARTC.

### 9.3.9 Clearances

Horizontal and vertical clearances for structures (adjacent to and over the track) shall comply with the ARTC Code of Practice: Section 7 'Clearance' unless otherwise approved by ARTC.

All structures over road traffic access with less than the regulated vertical clearance shall have overhead road clearance signs posted on them.

### 9.3.10 Waterways

ARTC can set the annual exceedance probability (AEP) for a particular waterway or drainage system. As a minimum, when the AEP is not specified by the ARTC, the flood openings shall be designed to accommodate the following:

- Major under track structures (discharge equal to or greater than 50m<sup>3</sup>/sec): 1% AEP (as defined in Australian Rainfall & Runoff guideline, previously defined as 100year precipitation event).
- Minor under track structures (discharge less than 50m<sup>3</sup>/sec): 2% AEP (previously defined as 50year precipitation event).
- Structures not under railway track: 2% AEP (previously defined as 50year precipitation event).

For rail bridges, the flood immunity and serviceability limit state Average Recurrence Interval shall be 100years as set out in AS (AS/NZ) 5100 unless otherwise specified by ARTC.

### 9.3.11 Abutment Slabs

#### 9.3.11.1 Approach Slab

The requirement to use an approach slab shall be determined by the design. The approach slab designed as an integral structural component for the stability of the abutment or to square off a skewed abutment shall not to be used for a transition approach. The approach slab seated on an abutment shall be adequately piled at the opposite end. The design of slab shall ensure that there is no uplift on the abutment that could cause track misalignment due to any differential

settlements at the piled end of the slab. Adequate drainage on each side of slab (lateral to track) shall be provided to ensure there is no entrapment of water on the approach slab or no water drains off the slab structure onto the formation under the track.

#### 9.3.11.2 Transition Slab

The transition slab shall be provided for all new rail bridges. The slab shall not be seated on the abutment. The length of slab across the rail track shall suit the width of the bridge approach embankment and the slab shall be at least 5m wide in direction parallel to running rails or as approved by ARTC. Where slab is comprised of 2 or more smaller units then these units shall be mechanically interlocked to form a single rigid transition slab. Adequate drainage on each side of slab (lateral to track) shall be provided to ensure there is no entrapment of water on the slab or no water drains off the transition slab onto the formation under the track.

#### 9.3.12 Walkways / Refuges / Handrails

All new walkways / refuges / handrails on rail bridges, culverts or in tunnels or on any other structures shall comply with the requirements of AS (AS/NZS) 5100 and AS 1657.

The walkways or suitable alternative access shall be provided along both sides of each track across structures where train crews regularly work on the ground for shunting, train inspections, etc.

In other cases, a risk assessment shall be performed to assess if walkways, refuges and/or handrails are required.

“No Safe Place” sign, as per Figure 9-1 below, shall be posted at each end of structure where any walkway, refuge or wall is within 3m horizontally from nearest running rail. It shall also be attached at locations along the wall where access is available to the track adjacent to the structure. Signage shall be attached such that it is clearly visible to authorised staff.

The following requirements shall apply to refuges that could be used in emergency situations:

- For bridges and culverts, refuges shall not infringe the Kinematic Envelope (KE) + 200mm from the centreline of the nearest track.
- For wall structures such as tunnels and retaining walls, refuges shall not infringe the KE + 500mm from the centreline of the nearest track.
- Refuges shall not exceed 20m intervals, one side for a single track and staggered for multiple tracks.
- Refuge is not required for structures less than 20m in length.
- Minimum dimensions of refuge shall be 2m in height, 1.5m wide and 0.7m in depth.
- Handrails on (or at) structures shall not infringe the KE + 200mm from the centreline of the nearest track.

Figure 9-1 below illustrates the general configuration of the 'No Safe Place' sign.



Figure 9-1 'No Safe Place' sign

The requirements for danger signage are set out in AS 1319.

### 9.3.13 Road Traffic Barrier

The road traffic barriers for all new road bridges over the ARTC network shall be designed to minimum standard of **Medium Performance Level** in accordance with AS (AS/NZS) 5100.

For bridge rehabilitation, the existing road barriers to be upgraded to performance level specified above or unless otherwise approved by ARTC.

### 9.3.14 Services

Services, utilities and service ducts shall be designed and fixed to structures so as to allow safe and unimpeded access to the structure for inspection and maintenance.

No services to be installed under bridges or through culverts or attached to structures without prior approval of ARTC.

Where service ducts are attached to bridge walkway, refuges or handrails they shall be positioned so that they do not encroach on the safe working area or create a trip or other safety hazards.

### 9.3.15 Survey Control Markers

Survey controls shall be established on all bridges and major culverts as follows:

1. Brass triangle control markers.
2. All markers are intervisible with ease of access and occupiable.
3. All markers are properly coordinated with reduced level.
4. A marker be installed on each abutment and no more than 150m apart on long bridges/viaducts
5. Permanent control(s) installed within ARTC corridor or on public land such as public roadway but no more than 150m from structure unless otherwise there is already a permanent marker nearby.
6. All markers, coordinates and reduced levels are clearly specified on "As Built" drawings.

### 9.3.16 Construction Specification

Specifications shall comply with the following requirements:

1. All specifications shall comply with all relevant ARTC Standards and Australian Standards.
2. All specifications shall be logically, concisely and without any ambiguity presented on construction drawings.
3. References to include all relevant ARTC Standards, ARTC drawings and/or Australian Standards, if applicable.

### 9.3.17 Competency Requirements

The designs, design approvals and verification shall only be carried out by persons holding the appropriate competency level in accordance with ARTC competency certification, details available on ARTC web site - EGP0103F-01 Engineering Design & Project Management Matrix

ARTC shall select the designers, design checkers and independent verifiers based on their demonstrated skills and experience with the construction materials (concrete, steel, timber, masonry, composites) and structure type (including but not limited to bridges, tunnels, communication towers).

### 9.3.18 Certification

All "Issued for Construction Drawings" shall be signed by the Design Engineer, and counter signed by the Design Check Engineer, Approver and Independent Verifier. Third party independent verification certificate for all construction drawings shall be provided unless ARTC waives this requirement. Third party here implies a competent engineer from another engineering consultancy.

All design changes shall comply with the requirements of Rail Network Configuration Management Procedure EGP-03-01 Engineering, Design and Project Management Identification of Competence Procedure

### 9.3.19 Acceptance by ARTC

All drawings shall be accepted as per EGP-04-01 Engineering Drawings and Documentation.

## 9.4 Construction and Maintenance

### 9.4.1 General

All construction and contract maintenance shall be performed in accordance with individual contracts.

### 9.4.2 Maintenance Work

All maintenance work on existing structures shall be carried out in accordance with approved construction drawings or on a like-for-like basis or ETG-09-02 Structures Repair Guideline. Where the guideline is used the applicable repair methodology shall be subject to approval by structures representative or competent structures engineer. Any changes to as-designed structures configuration or structurally critical elements shall be approved by a competent structures engineer and accompanied by relevant risk assessment, any additional inspections and signed off by a competent structures representative. All work shall be carried out in accordance with EGP-03-01 Rail Network Configuration Management and EGN-03-01 Configuration Management Manual.

All emergency maintenance work shall be adequately maintained and monitored until the structure is upgraded to safe operating condition.

### 9.4.3 Guard Rails

Guard rails are not required on rail bridges. Where fitted, existing guard rails shall be safely maintained by the local ARTC maintenance team in accordance with Code of Practice Section 1: Rail

## 9.5 Inspection and Assessment

### 9.5.1 Inspections

#### 9.5.1.1 Purpose

The purpose is to identify the requirements for systematic inspections so that:

- All structures are “fit for purpose” to meet operational needs.
- The responsibility and accountability for the structures management, inspection and maintenance is identified.
- The safety of all operators is ensured.
- The need for unplanned downgrading of service conditions is avoided for all structures.
- The inspections are carried out in accordance with the approved program, in the correct format and by competent inspectors.
- Data is provided for the development of structures management plans including strategic maintenance and replacement programs.
- Adequate structural integrity is maintained to an acceptable engineering Standard.
- Routine maintenance works are being effectively implemented.

#### 9.5.1.2 Scope

ARTC shall establish a comprehensive, systematic, condition monitoring and load rating program for all nominated structures selected from Table 9.1. The program shall comprise the following inspection types:

- Engineering Inspection.
- Visual Inspection.
- Special Inspection.
- Track Patrol Inspection.

#### 9.5.1.3 Third Party Structure

Inspection and maintenance of third party structures shall conform to the requirements of Road-Rail Interface Agreement and/or Infrastructure License Agreement between the parties. Third party structures here imply structures not owned or managed by ARTC.

#### 9.5.1.4 Redundant Structures

Where possible and reasonable to do so, redundant structures and fittings shall be removed or isolated from the public and railway workers. Isolation actions can include filling in, entry barricading, fencing and signage. Some structures may be partially removed, leaving some residual elements in place. Until total removal or isolation, redundant structures, structural elements and fittings shall be subject to inspections and the minimum maintenance assessed as necessary to prevent an increased risk to the public and workers above that considered

necessary SFAIRP during the structure's service life. Such inspection and maintenance actions of redundant structures and fittings shall be implemented until the structures are removed or isolated.

#### **9.5.1.5 Operational Safety**

All personnel involved with inspections shall not cause danger, delay, obstruction or stoppage to railway traffic and not interfere with the general business of the ARTC or its operators.

#### **9.5.1.6 Work, Health and Safety**

All inspection personnel shall comply with the ARTC's Work, Health and Safety procedures.

All inspection personnel shall be appropriately accredited for work on or within rail corridors in accordance with network operational and safe working requirements.

#### **9.5.1.7 Environment**

All inspection procedures shall comply with ARTC's environmental procedures.

### **9.5.2 Load Rating**

#### **9.5.2.1 Structure Load Rating**

All existing bridges (and large culverts, if required) shall be assigned "As New" and "As Is" load ratings and fatigue assessment in accordance with AS 5100 Part 7 and AS 7636.

For road bridges, the vehicle mass and/or speed shall be reduced to attain a Rating Factor greater than unity (i.e.  $RF > 1.0$ ). All deficient road bridges shall be sign posted with R6-17 sign in accordance with AS 1742.2 and AS 1743.

#### **9.5.2.2 Loads and loading factors**

The loads and factors are to be in accordance with AS (AS/NZS) 5100 except as modified/clarified below.

##### **9.5.2.2.1 Live Loads**

In addition to the standard 300LA design rail traffic load and RAS train loads (refer Structures Inspection Procedure, Train Consists) the load ratings will normally be required in terms of current trains operating over the structure as nominated by ARTC.

There is a potential risk of rail breaking, failure of deteriorated transoms and ballasted timber planks or existence of loose transom/plank holding down bolts in bridges. For this particular reason, their small contribution towards load carrying capacity of span members shall be disregarded unless otherwise approved by ARTC.

##### **9.5.2.2.2 Dead Loads**

The combined un-factored superimposed dead load such as running rails, any existing guard rails and transoms of the track together with/without steel walkway(s) can be taken as 5kN/m unless otherwise more refined analysis is required.

##### **9.5.2.2.3 Load Factors**

The value for Live Load factor shall be 1.4 for live load and all loads induced by live load such as centrifugal, nosing, braking and traction forces for all current and RAS train consists unless otherwise nominated by ARTC. All other factors shall be as specified in AS 5100.7.

##### **9.5.2.2.4 Dynamic Load Allowance (DLA)**

The DLA value for load rating of existing bridges shall be as per AS 5100.2 and shall not be increased by 50% for existing bridges without any transition slab as required by AS 5100.2 for new bridges.

**9.5.2.2.5 Nosing Load, Wind Load and Centrifugal Force**

Nosing load, wind load and centrifugal force induce axial stresses in members bracing the flanges of stringer, beam and girder spans, axial stresses in the chords of truss spans and in members of cross frames of such spans, and stresses from lateral bending of flanges of longitudinal members having no bracing system. The capacity of sway and wind bracing shall undergo detailed analysis. If this methodology produces the rating factors below permissible values, then Finite Element Analysis shall be carried out as per AS5100.6. If the aforementioned methodologies produce unfavourable results, then the effects of lateral bending between braced points of flanges, axial forces in flanges, vertical forces and forces transmitted to bearings shall be disregarded as per American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association *Manual for Railway Engineering*.

**9.5.2.2.6 Braking and Traction Forces**

The following characteristics of coal and freight trains shall be used to determine braking and traction forces when using Rational method in AS (AS/NZ) 5100:

**Table 9-3 Braking and Traction Forces**

PARAMATER	VALUE
Rail traffic	Nominated main line or heavy haulage freight traffic.
Train length	1800m unless otherwise nominated by ARTC
CoG above rail	2.1m for single stack container wagon and 2.65m for double stacked container wagon
Traction acceleration	0.5m/s <sup>2</sup>
Traction Length	60m (for 3No. 20m long locomotives) unless otherwise nominated by ARTC
Braking deceleration:	1.2m/s <sup>2</sup> .
Braking length:	1800m (for 3No. 20m long locomotives + 100No. 100t general freight wagons) unless otherwise nominated by ARTC

*Note: Where necessary, track-bridge interaction should be assessed to ensure the rails and the bridge components are not subjected to any anomalies, guidance provided in UIC Code – 774-3R.*

**9.5.2.2.7 Wind load**

The Serviceability Wind Speed in AS (AS/NZS) 5100 is 37m/sec. The lower 20m/sec is to be used on Ultimate Limit State live loads with load factor of 1.0 because of the short-term nature of the train loading on the structure.

**9.5.2.3 Fatigue Rating**

Where ARTC requires a fatigue analysis to be undertaken, the minimum theoretical remaining fatigue life across all structural elements shall be assessed in accordance with AS (AS/NZS) 5100. Detail Category for the assessment of normal stress for existing riveted bridge members that are in a reasonable condition should be 112 for shop driven rivets and 90 for field driven rivets, unless otherwise approved by ARTC. Where shop and field driven rivets cannot be distinguished then detail category should be 90.